GROUP REPORTS

BRISTOL: It all started when some of us who had been talking together at Women's Lib. Groups about abortion and contraception realised that we wanted to do something concrete about it. A number of us had our own personal experience to draw on. We had known unwanted pregnancy through failed contraception, back-street abortion, abortion on the National Health Service and privately, and pregnancy carried to term because of refused abortion.

We talked for a long time at several meetings about how we felt, about how we had been treated by doctors, gynaecologists etc. We talked about how we felt about using the pill, dutch caps, condoms, I.U.D. etc. We decided that none of the methods were really satisfactory and pleasant to use. We also talked about our own feelings about our sexuality and about sex education. We arrived at the conclusion that controlling our own fertility is an essential precondition for the liberation of women and that we should be able to choose whether or not to have children. We decided that at the present time we do not have this choice.

We started from the Women's Liberation Movement but we now have women involved who did not come from Women's Lib. Groups, and for some of them the Campaign has proved to be a way in to Women's Liberation. Our strength, I believe, lies in the fact that the group is composed of women from different social backgrounds, different political viewpoints and different approaches to the Campaign. We agree or the demands, and we think that women are grown up enough to make their own decisions. We will not be satisfied until we control our own fertility - we are not prepared to be told we must NOT have children any more than being told we MUST have children. We believe it is only when enough women get together in action around our demands that we will be near to achieving success, and we are confident we can build a movement powerful enough.

The International Movement
At the Skegness Conference of Women's
Liberation in September 1971 we realised
we were not alone. Women from a number
of groups met together in a Workshop
and the Nottingham Group suggested that
we should try to have some kind of activity
(a march, a meeting, a leaflet distribution)
on November 20th.

On that day the American Abortion Campaign (WONACC) were initiating a series of actions in the United States and women in many different countries were planning to recognise it as an International Day of Action on Abortion.

The Press reported very little of what happened, but we found out during the next few months that over 15,000 women in the U.S.A., Canada, France, Switzerland, Australia, New Zealand, Japan, Italy, and Germany as well as Britain demonstrated against restrictive laws controlling abortion and contraception on that day.

Cetting together and forming the National Campaign

All this time the movement was growing nationally. In January we were invited to a meeting by the London Abortion Action Group. Together with women from other parts of the country we formed the WOMEN'S ABORTION AND CONTRACEPTION CAMPAIGN; we discussed and drew up a policy statement, which contains three main demands:- 1. Free contraception on the N.H.S. 2. A Woman's Right to Choose abortion. 3. No compulsory sterilisation.

The Lane Commission We had been collecting evidence for the London Group to present to the Lane Commission which is looking into the workings of the 1967 Abortion Act. We continued with this until the end of April when it was presented to the Lane Commission from the Women's Abortion and Contraception Campaign as a whole. The evidence was collected all over the country, and consisted of women's own experiences, set down on paper, of obtaining an abortion, or of being refused an abortion. With one or two rare exceptions, the Press did not consider these experiences to be of 'news value' although the views of gynaecologists and other eminent medical people are worthy of frontpage treatment.

In Bristol we held a Teach-In on November 20th. We invited other organisations, ranging from the Conservation Group to the Co-op Women's Guild. Out of the 50 to 60 people present the most important experts were our own women who described their experiences. After the Teach-In we held a public meeting with an American spoker from WONAAC, Linda Sheppard.

During all this time we had been making visits to the Bristol authorities on one or other related aspects. Each time three or four of us would go along, and although it wasn't always the same three or four, we found it useful to have that number.

One of us would usually remember something the rest of us had forgotten, and we found that our different approaches were valuable - Sisterhood is Powerful! We visited:

edical Officer of Health and his aty; A schools inspector; The cretaries of two Hospital Boards; The Secretary of the Head Teacher's Association; Labour M.P., Mr. Arthur Palmer (and his wife who happened to be in his 'surgery' and who is a psychiatrist). A gynaecologist.

The subjects we discussed (at least one with each person):

Free contraception under the National Health Service; Sex Education in primary, secondary and comprehensive schools; Contraceptive advice to women on leaving hospital after abortion; The Abortion Act; Methods of abortion; Attitudes of medical and nursing staff to women having abortion; The Age of Consent.

WHAT WE ARE DOING NOW

"Women and Abortion" We are concentrating on selling the pamphlet "Women and Abortion" as widely inside and outside the women's movement as possible, as it contains our evidence to the Lane Commission. Price is 10p (plus 5p postage) and it is obtainable from: Helen Seed, 37 Elliston Road, Bristol BS6 6Q.

Contraceptive Leaflet Our latest effort is a leaflet on contraception addressed to young people, especially girls. We decided on this because we think it is still difficult for unmarried girls to find out where to go and what to do. In 1970 there were 526 abortions performed on single women in Bristol, 39 of them on girls under 16.

We have distributed the first 2,000 leaflets outside of dance halls, and we are now collecting money together to get another 5,000 printed. We shall, we hope, cover youth clubs, polytechnics, bus stops, in the City Centre late at night. The University Women's Lib. Group is selling some in the University, and there are girls taking them into their schools to give to their friends.

ILFORD GROUP:

We have recently met the local group of the Birth Control Campaign, and we were reassured to find that they're not into keeping the population down, but are very much thinking along our own lines - the quality as well as the availability of facilities, the right to choose whether and when to have children. So we are working with them in distributing information leaflets about local birth-control facilities, and in meeting our new MOH for discussion. Our borough is one of a small minority of London boroughs which does not provide free contraceptive advice.

We are also starting a free pregnancy testing service, on Saturday mornings, hoping to provide a service for women who slip through the net of institutionalised pregnancy testing and who cannot afford the exorbitant commercial fees.

GLASGOW: Women in Action (Glasgow Womens Liberation Group) have decided to start a campaign for "Free contraception and abortion on demand".

MANCHESTER: We are running a free Pregnancy Testing Service at the Womens Liberation Centre, 218 Upper Brook Street, Manchester 13 Tel: 061-273-2287 on Saturday mornings 10-12 noon. We find that we are getting many more women coming after a slow start in July. We now average 6 tests a morning which keeps us busy, as we also talk to the women either about Contraception if the test is negative or what they want to do if the test is positive. We find that many women who come do want advice on these two topics especially abortion. We keep a record of the tests we do and the advice wanted for future reference.

At the start of the pregnancy testing we had a good report in the local evening paper, with a photograph of us doing a test for a women. The reporter was the husband of one of the group and his editor cut out the sentence about the test only costing us 15p to do, whereas agencies in town and chemists charged £2 - £4 for a test. The paper refused to take an advert in the personal column but several other alternative papers run one free every issue.

We also run an information service from the Centre and the majority of our calls are from women who want abortions and have been refused one on the NHS. We usually refer them to the Liverpool branch of the B.P.A.S.

We had some T.V. time about the infoservice and pregnancy testing.

On the contraception side we have been collecting and collating information about the facilities offered in Manchester, which has an illegitimacy rate of 19.06%. We have also been leafleting a new council estate about the clinic facilities offered there and the doctor concerned is doing a follow up with her new patients to see if they come as a result of our leafletting and flyposting.

Our general contact address is:-218 Upper Brook Street, Manchester 13 061-273-2287

or in a hurry Jenny Collard, 41 Whalley House, Wood Rd, Manchester 16. 061-881-3801.

Could you send us some copies of the newsletter.

BANGOR: There are only a few W.A.C.C. supporters known in Bangor; but we shall follow the campaign's plans and progress with much interest, and lend direct support when geographically practicable.

As it happens each of us is also a member of 'Population Stabilization' - both local group and national membership. I personally am perturbed at the apparent suspicion with which W.A.C.C. supporters seem to regard P.S.? particularly since there could be valuable mutual support in effecting these goals which are shared by each organization. Perhaps W.A.C.C. members could consult PAUL EHRLICH'S: "How to be a survivor" p24-26 (BALLANTINE PBK 40p) - where they would find convictions expressed in phrases already familar:

- p24: 'Women should above all be abld to avoid compulsory pregnancy, the enforced conception and bearing of unwanted children'. If compulsory pregnancy is to be avoided, contraceptive services and voluntary abortion should be available on demand to all women'.
- p25: 'No one, of course, should be forced to use contraceptives or have an abortion'. N.B. (p.26) Allowing people access to a service is not necessarily followed by forcing anyone to use it'.
- p25: 'Women must have control over what they may or may not do with their bodies'.

LEEDS: BIRTH CONTROL DAY will be held by BCC in Leeds on Saturday October 28th.

'Pregnant' men and 'schoolgirls' will distribute leaflets in the main shopping precinct. There will also be a birth control stall outside Holy Trinity Church on Boar Lane. There will also be an 'old woman in a shoe' for a creche.

There will be a similar leafletting campaign to the one above at the Arndale Centre in Cross Gates on Saturday November 11th. Again, volunteers will be needed to help distribute leaflets.

AN OPEN LETTER FROM LONDON:

Dear Sisters, We would like to congratula to Rose Knight on the WACC Newsletter, a valuable link between groups and a method of informing all of activities in other area s.

We are anxious to express our point of view on the comments a bout the debate within the movement at the Manchester Conference and the Manifesto in 'Women and Abortion'. We realise that we should have clarified in that booklet that while the material was gathered with the a ssistance of WACC groups throughout the country, the compilation and views expressed were the responsibility of the London group. We intended to rectify this omission when we gave oral evidence to

the Lane Commission to supplement of the representative of other groups on the delegation who will be presenting the oral evidence to the Committee.

Rose sees a contradiction between direct action and mass campaighning where we see none .To us direct action complements campaighning work and vice-versa. Mass campaigning with no practice is in danger of becomimng rhetorical and general. We can campaign a round the three agreed aims of contraception, abortion, and no forced sterilisation, but unless we work out these aims in practice we will not know how we wish our aims to be implemented, nor be in control of their achievement.

To be specific we want the right of every woman to choose abortion. This should mean more than a legal right to an abortion. To really benefit us it must mean the actual right of choice which comes from a sympathetic and k nowledgable person or persons putting the question in a nn n-leaded atomosphere at an early stage of pregnancy when the most safe non-tra umati a bortion on an out-patient basis will be available. Rose says we must fight the anti-abortionists because they degrade the value of life; it is precisely because of our concern with the value of life that we seek to make abortion not only a positive c. choice but also a positive experience in thelife of women who have them.

We came to see these practical requirements from the evidence collected from women and presented to the Lane Committee. Mass campainning withinthe definition given it by Rose of national demonstrations, national conferences, teach-ins and public ti conftontations would not alone have given us this knowledge.

Now we know what is needed how is it to be achieved? We would not dispute that to benefit all women it should be under the National Health Service. By setting up a pilot scheme we intend to hasten provision for all women and help to ensure that it is the kind of provision we want.

Such a scheme will demonstrate to women and the mediacal profession that a service designed to cater for all women's needs is feasible medically, socially and economically.

It will show that we, that is women, have the fund of expertise, professional skills and organisational ability that Rose doubts we pocess. One of the reasons for the shameful neglect of women's needs by the Health Dervice is the male dominated Medical Profession. We have made some surprisingly good contacts with women

and nurses who are working within and have reason to set the service up. One of our intentions in attempting to set up our health service is to enco ourage women to be trained to deal with their needs. Most skills and a bilities are only developed through use.

It is our plan to try at all stages to obtain government meney locally and centrally to finance the health entre. If we succeed this is a precedent to be used else where, and why not attached to the present contraceptive service? The family Planning Association which started providing services in an ad-hoc way is at present being taken over by the local authorities. Lambeth is the first to do this. We are asking Lambeth for premises to set up a women (s Health Centre providing all the services covered by our campaign.

We consider it essential to help women here and now, as well as campaigning for the future. Fractical help at whatever level a local group can provide is a first step towards involving more women in our campaign.

for general information the Health Service is only one of the London group's activities. We have already mentioned . the preparation for the presentation of oral evidence to the Lane Committee.

Other activities include the duplicating of bundles of useful information for all members of WaCC. Information on the local availability of abortions has been collected and indexed.

We have participated in a number of broad-casts; we were interviewed on radio Japan.

Some of our members have participated in, or supported activities and events be other London gloups; for example, a Contraceptive fair and a Demonstration to mark Abortic action Week organised by the West London Contraception Campaign, with whom very close links have been forged.

Recently we have been given a room in a house provided by Lambeth Council for the South London Workshop Groups.

We plan to submit evidence to WoNAAC'S International Tribunal on Abortion, Contraception and forced Sterilisation—Two Days of Denunciation of Orimes Against Women which will take place in New York in October.

So you see that while the thrust of our energies may be concentrated on setting up the Women's Health pervice, we are not neglecting oher areas of domenstation, education and publicity. Yours in Sisturbood, WACC LODON

FROM ABROAD

MONSTRATION IN PARIS OVER ABORTION TRIAL

1.0

"Like 1 million other women each year Marie-Claire had to go through the tragedy of an illegal abortion because she did not have 2,000 or even 3,000 francs (about \$600) for an easy abortion in a clinic in London, Geneva, or Paris itself, because she had no sex education, and at seventeen it is very difficult to get contraceptives from a doctor....

"....it is easier to bring one girl to trial than 343 women who call the abortion laws into question."

These statements were part of a leaflet distributed by several hundred demonstrators near the Opera in Paris on October 11 to protest the trial of Marie-Claire "X" on charges of having violated France's reactionary laws on abortion.

The show of public solidarity did not meet with the approval of the authorities. The peaceful gathering was attacked by cops who arrived complete with riot gear and paddy wagons. The October 11 issue of Le Monde described the assault:

"Toward 6.45p.m. several dozen mobile guards, sometimes in helmets, all armed with clubs, fell on everyone in the vicinity who looked like a protester whether in skirts or pants. Some of them moved into the Opera drugstore to seize a young woman in tears whom they dragged out by the hair. Another young woman, struck on the head and the chest, escaped her pursuers just in time, thanks to an older woman who moved in between. A little farther away a young woman left her mother and desperately clung to her husband who had been knocked down by the police, then clubbed and arrested".

for abortion rights in New York. Sucampaign would be prepared to take of "right-to-life" and other anti-abort: groups when the New York State legis reconvenes in January. The hearings kicked off on Friday night with a deign on "Abortion - A Woman's Right to Che Susan LaMont, A WONAAC national staf member, summarized the two day event saying that the evidence and indictment of the police, then clubbed and arrested".

The editors expressed their disapproval of using such tactics on "peaceful and unarmed demonstrators". They pointed out that although the demonstration did not have a permit and could be legally dispersed"...what is not acceptable, legal, or praiseworthy is the brutal use of force against scattered and not all dangerous demonstrators."

why should the case of Marie-Claire "X" and we can't let them down".

suddenly make headlines in a country that convicts between 500 and 600 women for abortion each year without headlines and editorials in the capitalist press?

Undoubtedly it was because of the efforts by the French abortion group Choisir (Choice).

They took up the case of Marie-Claire "X" and we can't let them down".

WONAAC 150 Fifth Ave., Toom 315, New York N.Y.10011. Telephone (212) 675-9150.

CANADIA: CANADIAN ABORTION ASSEMBLY.

Every federal candidate in the Toronto are has been invited to a public assembly on specific properties of the case of Marie-Claire "X" organized by the Toronto chapter of the Canadian Women's Coalition to Repeal the Abortion Laws will be followed by a specific properties.

As the proceedings went on behind closed coors in the juvenile court, supporters from Choisir and MLF (French Women's Liberation Movement) chanted: "No trial for Marie-Claire" "We have all aborted, judge us"

"Free and legal abortion, contraception" "Switzerland and England for the poor".

The supporters of abortion rights plan another public protest in Bobigny Nov 8 when Marie-Claire's mother and two friends will be tried for complicity. INTERCONTINENTAL PRESS CCT.30

AMERICA: WOMEN INDICT ANTI-ABORTION FORCES
NEW YORK CITY, Oct. 22 - More than 500 women and men attended the New York-Abortion Hearings in Defense of a Woman's Right to Choose held here Oct. 20-21. The hearings presented preliminary evidence for the International Tribunal on Abortion, Contraception, and Forced Sterilization, to be held in New York City March 9-11.

Sponsored by the New York Women's National Abortion Action Coalition (WONAAC), the Oct. 20-21 hearings were endorsed by an impressive range of close to 100 organizations and individuals. This was one of the most successful gatherings of abortion rights, women's liberation, and community groups in the history of the New York abortion fight.

A predominant theme that was echoed in all of the panels and discussions was the need to mount a unified, visible campaign for abortion rights in New York. Such a campaign would be prepared to take on the "right-to-life" and other anti-abortion groups when the New York State legislature reconvenes in January. The hearings were kicked off on Friday night with a debate on "Abortion - A Woman's Right to Choose?" Susan LaMont, A WONAAC national staff member, summarized the two day event by saying that the evidence and indictments toward the international abortion tribunal in March. She announced that the French writer Simone de Beauvoir is one of the initiating sponsors of the March tribunal. LaMont said WONAAC is "working to build a powerful, united, massive movement to win the right to abortion". The supporters of abortion rights are already in the majority, she noted. "We want our majority to be a noisy majority, and if we resolve to unify and work together, this majority will win. The eyes of women throughout the country are on New York, and we can't let them down". WONAAC 150 Fifth Ave., Toom 315, New York N.Y.10011. Telephone (212) 675-9150. CANADA: CANADIAN ABORTION ASSEMBLY. Every federal candidate in the Toronto area has been invited to a public assembly on organized by the Toronto chapter of the Abortion Laws, will be followed by a march to City Hall for a speak-out. The Toronto assembly and demonstration is being held in conjunction with similar actions in cities across Canada. Canadian Women's Coalition, founded at

a conference last March in Winnipeg, Manitoba, now has 12 chapters in seven provinces.

This growth reflects the growing support for abortion law repeal among thousands of Canadians. Over 100,000 people have signed a petition calling for repeal. And last spring, students on 18 Canadian college campuses voted overwhelmingly in favour of repeal in campus referendums. Lorna Grant, Canadian Women's Coalition to Repeal the Abortion Laws, Toronto, Canada.

MORNING AFTER ABORTION

A "morning after" method of avoiding pregnancy could cost only £2, a doctor said yesterday. The MR method - menstrual regulation - could eventually be done on the Health Service, said Dr. Geoffrey Davis, project director for the International Abortion Research and Training Centre in London. He described his plan in the October issue of Family Planning.

His procedure for menstrual regulation is the removal of a suspected fertilised ovum well before an unwanted conception could possibly be confirmed or denied. The technique is virtually equivalent to the vacuum method of abortion used within a few days of the first missed period.

A fully tested, reliable "day after pill" is still 10 years or so away, he says, but the vacuum method had been widely used and well documented since 1968, with emphasis on its safety, acceptability to the patient, and suitability as an outpatient or office procedure.

One clinic in the United Kingdom was already taking part in a programme of using menstrual regulation and evaluating its results as a method of abortion.

Dr. Davis said: "MR, sensibly, used, as a reliable 'fail safe' for acceptable but relatively inefficient contraceptives, can obviate the need for all but a very small number of abortions in any community."

About 95 per cent of women who feared they had an unwanted conception sought help within seven days of the first missed period - but then there were formidable delays. His technique could be used when the patient first sought help, because diagnosis was usually unnecessary.

Provision of MR either by GPs or through purpose-designed clinics should make it feasible to talk in terms of about £2. Five units working within the Health Service could deal with the whole of Britain. "Cnly wide and general availability will keep the cost down", said Dr. Davis. "Restrictions as to the circumstances of its use will enable what might be called the Medical Mafia to move in and corner the market again, as happened in the case of abortion".

By BADEN HICKMAN, Churches Correspon
A national group of Roman Catholics is
to revise its controversial birth
control pamphlet, condemned by the
Catholic hierarchy in England and Wales
and may become more defiant towards the
Church's teaching.

ÓŎ

The unofficial Catholic Renewal Movement which has distributed nearly 240,000 copies of "Catholics and Family Planning", mainly through clinics of the Family Planning Association, is now likely to quote Catholic bishops in support of its stand for a more liberal attitude.

The annual meeting of the movement later this month is to be recommended to include in the pamphlet a statement by Scandinavian Catholic bishops which, among other things, says nobody should be considered a bad Catholic because he dissents on conscientious grounds from the Pope's ruling.

The present edition of the pamplet says contraception is not in conflict with the Catholic faith.

"Make your decision", it says, "get the help you need, then stop worrying. There is no need to consult a priest or to mention the matter in confession.

Continue going to Mass and Holy Communion. There is nothing to fear".

A letter to members of the Catholic Renewal Movement says the FPA has just ordered another 70,000 copies of the pamphlet, and that there are proposals for translation by United Nations agencies.

W. A. C. C. KIT

London have completed a kit, price 30p to introduce women to WACC. It includes:

- 1. What is WACC?
- 2. What to do if you think you are pregnant?
- 3. Methods of Abortion.
- 4. Menstrual Aspiration and its relationship to Vacuum Aspiration.
- 5. Interviews with:
 Dr. Harvey Karmen.
 Dr. Peter Huntingford.
 Dr. Ben Branch.
- A draft blueprint Women's Health Service.
- Contraception and Abortion a pamphlet by Monica Sjoo - Bristol WACC.

The Kit can be obtained from Madi Grey, '47:Emmsmarst.Rd': London N. 16
Tel: 01-800-1932.

6 Q.hods:

6H. Karman & M. Potts Lancet 13th May

vacuum source Gives details of method, also the name and address of the supplier of the Karman cannula.

2. Geoffrey Davis <u>Family Planning</u> October 1972 57-59. 'Menstrual Regulation'

A discussion of the potential way that MR may be used, the social effects and probable cost, estimated to fall to about £2 a time. He reckons that 5 strategically placed units working in the N.H.S. could comfortably deal with fertility control for the whole country. Using this method, there have been no complications from 15000 cases in the U.S.A.

3. P. Eckestein & 7 others British
Medical Journal 22nd July 1972 195-200.
'Clinical and laboratory findings in a trial of Norgestrel, a low-dose progesterone-only contraceptive'.

An article about the 'minipill'. This had a failure rate of about 2.1%. One side effect is that it caused irregular and short bleeding intervals in a large number of the women and because of this, several stopped using it. Norgestrel apparently acts by several mechanisms including reducing the sperm penetrability of the cervical mucus, and impairing luteal function. On discontinuing the pill, fertility is promptly restored.

4. Community Medicine 6th Oct. 1972 p532.

An article about the new copper IUD which can be used by women who have not had children. It is reported to have a failure rate of 1% and to have fewer side effects than conventional IUDs. It is now being used experimentally in Britain.

5. Brian Alderman (from Liverpool) <u>Lancet</u> 5th August 1972 p279. 'Abortion with prostaglandins'.

He reports 100% success in 23 cases by the use of extra-amniotic prostaglandins $\rm E_2$ or $\rm F_2$ with or without the additional use of intravenous oxytocin. Details of the method are described.

6. Roberts & others (from Cardiff)

<u>British Medical Journal</u> 7th October 1972

12-14. 'Therapeutic abortion by intraamniotic injection of prostaglandins'.

Intra-amniotic injection of either prostaglandin E₂ or F₂ was used in an attempt to induce therapeutic abortion in mid-pregnancy in 27 patients. It was successful in 11 out of 13 cases when prostaglandin E₂ was used, the average induction-abortion interval being 18 hours 41 mins. The abortion was incomplete in 30% of cases. When prostaglandin F_{2a} was

used, only 6 out of 14 cases aborted, the induction-abortion interval was over 20 hours, and in 60% of cases the abortion was incomplete. Studies are continuing with prostaglandin E_2 .

7. <u>Graham Chedd World Medicine</u> 6th Sept. 1972 17-22. 'Official Debut for Prostaglandins'.

A general review article about the discovery, synthesis and potential uses of prostaglandins, including abortion.

Medical Hazards:

8. H. Gordan The Medical Bulletin
1968 253-258. 'Therapeutic Abortion'.

A review article which reports on some work which suggests that abortion is not nearly so serious as many obstetricians may be inclined to think. There is a discussion about vacuum aspiration, and a review of the induction of abortion by injection of hypertonic solutions.

9. The Medical Bulletin 1971

189-194 'Complications of the contraceptive pill'.

233-237 'Clinical use of the prostaglandins' 239-241 'Long-term consequences of legal abortion'.

10. Desmond Bluett British Medical Journal 22nd April 1972 p228. 'Termination of pregnancy'.

A letter giving details about the Pregnancy Advisory Service in Birmingham. Out of 1000 patients terminated by him by curettage, there were no complications. Details are given of the age of the patients, and length of pregnancy. 72% of the patients had not previously practised reliable contraception.

11. Charles Wright, Stuart Campbell & John Beazley (from London) Lancet 10th June 1972 1278-9. 'Second-trimester abortion after vaginal termination of pregnancy'.

They report a 10-fold increase in natural miscarriages in the 3rd to 6th month of pregnancy in women who had previously had an abortion by dilation and curretage. There was no increase in any other obstetric abnormality. The authors suggest that dilation of the cervix induces temporary or permanent cervical incompetence, and that barlier termination, using the Karman catheter, will reduce the risk of cervical damage.

12. World Health Statistics Report 1969
22 (6) 'Maternal Mortality'.

335-337 Discussion about the main causes of death among pregnant women in about 20 countries in the world.
357-362 Numbers of deaths due to abortion in about 40 countries.

13. World Health Statistics Report 1971 24(7) 436-437.

No discussion, figures only. Gives the number of deaths due to abortion, and the death rate per 100000 population for about 40 countries in the world.

14. WHO (World Health Organisation)
Chronicle 1971 25(3) 104-111. 'Spontaneous and induced abortion'.

A general article which includes reasons for spontaneous abortion, techniques for inducing abortion, and a long section on the consequences of abortion. In eastern Europe the mortaility rate for legal abortions is 3 per 100000. For comparison, in developed countries the maternal mortality rate, excluding abortion, is about 20 per 100000 pregnancies, and in many developing countries it is over 200 per 100000. In northern Europe, legal abortion has a higher mortality rate (40 per 100000) but generally the abortions are performed later in pregnancy. It is estimated that if adequate medical care is available, the mortality rate for illegal abortions is probably less than 50-100 per 100000.

Effects of Abortion Act on hospitals:

15. A. Howard John & Brian Hackman (from Bristol) <u>British Medical Journal</u> 1972 99-102. 'Effects of legal abortion on gynaecology'. 8th July.

Despite an increase in patient turnover of 45%, the waiting list for gynaecological work has increased by 200%. They suggest that the act has had little effect on the birth rate or illegitimacy, and that there may have been an increase in criminal abortion.

16. A. Buckle & Mary Anderson (from Lewisham General Hospital S.E.13)

<u>British Medical Journal</u> 12th August 1972
381-384. 'Implementation of the abortion act: report on a year's working of abortion clinics and operating sessions'.

The operations were carried out by either Karman catheter or by Bierer suction curette under paracervical block. 78% of patients were not kept in hospital overnight, and 20% left within 4 hours of their abortion. These results were possible because of rapid reference of patients to the clinics, and the absence of delay in admission for operation. They point out that there need be no problems of increased waiting lists if special abortion clinics are set up.

Studies on women:

17. Joan Lambert <u>British Medical Journal</u> 16th October 1971 156-160. 'Survey of 3000 unwanted pregnancies'.

This deals with women who came to the Pregnancy Advisory Service in London. There were two main groups of patients: single women aged between 20 and 24 and an older group of married women in their early 30's. Contraception was normally used by a much higher proportion $(83\frac{1}{2})$ of married women than single women (41%). However, even in the group of married women the unwanted pregnancy was caused by failure to use contraception at all in 47% of cases. Presumably the remaining pregnancies were caused by method failure. The author calculates that 62000 of the 87000 terminations performed in 1970 might have been avoided by the use of contraception, if her results are representative of the country as a whole. The number of schoolgirls and elderly women who already had several children, seen by the P.A.S. after refusal by N.H.S. gynaecologists 'points to some deficiency in the available facilities of the Health Service'.

lest one than ha

i8. C. McCance & D.J. Hall <u>British</u>
<u>Medical Journal</u> 17th June 1972 694-700.
'Sexual behaviour and contraceptive practice of unmarried female undergraduates at Aberdeen university'.

Most of the sexually active women had stable relationships (86%), so the authors are surprised that even in these cases no contraception was used by 30-40% of the couples. Many of the women thought that contraceptive advice was not adequately available. Of the 684 girls who took part in the survey 6 were pregnant, 49 thought they might be, and 65 had been pregnant in the past (of whom at least 55 had had an abortion). The authors suggest that the incidence of unwanted pregnancy is probably higher in the general population, and that this may continue so long as women think that they will be given a moralising lecture instead of contraception by their G.P.s.

19. Charolotte Ingham & Madeleine Simms Journal of Biosocial Science 1972 4 351-369. 'Study of applicants for abortion at the Royal Northern Hospital, London'.

This is a very interesting paper, similar to 'Women & Abortion', so the results are given in some detail as the journal may not be easily obtained.

In the 2nd and 3rd quarters of 1970, 155 women applied for abortion to two of the consultant gynaecologists at this hospital. Of the applicants, 100 were single, 42 married and 13 widowed, separated or divorced. The single women came disproportionately from larger than average families, and 58 were from broken or unhappy homes, 30% of the total sample were Roman Catholic. By occupation the largest group was of semi-skilled or

London. London. f patients: skilled workers (37) and the lest one of professional women (7). than half were British-born and one third were from the Commonwealth. More than half had never attempted to use any method of contraception. The 155 patients between them had had 14 previous abortions and 25 illegitimate children.

元可思

Of the 155 women, 71 single, 23 married and 8 WDS obtained an abortion, this proportion of single women being considerably higher than the national average. So also was the proportion of women in the age range 20-34 years. One sixth of the abortion patients waited more than 2 weeks for their first clinic appointment, and thereafter about $\frac{3}{4}$ waited for more than 2 weeks for a bed. Twice the proportion of patients were aborted after 13 weeks of pregnancy than was the case nationally. This resulted in a high proportion of abortions by urea instillation, a very unpleasant method for all concerned, and which has now been discontinued. Thus many women who ought to be aborted will not be until such time as the hospital is given extra facilities to cope with the heavy demand for legal abortion.

A follow-up about 18 months later, showed that nearly all the women were glad they had had an abortion; very few had experienced serious depression, feelings of guild or religious problems afterwards. Most of the women who needed it were now using birth control, mostly the pill. 3 patients became pregnant again within a year of their abortion, one had a miscarriage, one (a schoolgirl) a second abortion, and one was refused. The commonest answer to the question 'what was the worst part of having an abortion' was that it was waiting for the abortion decision, the next most frequent reply being, having to wait for the urea operation to work.

53 women, approximately one third, did not have a hospital abortion, but only 15 were refused. The others had spontaneous or private abortions, changed their minds after interview or failed to appear for the operation. Of the 15, 7 were refused because the pregnancy was too advanced. 2 girls were refused because they had had previous unwanted pregnancies, but had not used contraception on this occasion. Of the 53 women not obtaining an abortion at this hospital, 10 had spontaneous abortions, 11 had induced abortions (8 private) 3 had their babies adopted or long-term fostered, and 6 were untraced. 22 had their babies and kept them. One baby died.

The authors conclude that more women would have been aborted had they been able to obtain a bed more rapidly. 'Some of the mothers suffer from various forms of mental instability, some are unsupported, many are struggling in grim social

conditions that would defeat stable and adequate women with greatly wanted pregnancies. The outlook for many of these mothers and their children is very unpromising.' They state that legal abortion may be an important instrument in helping to break the vicious cycle whereby a substandard, unstable and unhappy home produces a new generation of disturbed or inadequate children.

NB I hope I have not contravened the copyright law over this.

Legal aspects:

20. British Medical Journal 2nd April 1966 850-854. 'Legalised abortion: report by the Council of the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists'.

The report considers the existing position, and after discussing criminal abortion, and the difficulties and dangers of inducing abortion, goes on to discuss the revision of the law and the principles to be followed in drafting a new abortion bill.

21. James S. Scott Social Science & Medicine 1, 387-399 1968. 'The abortion law reform debate, United Kingdom 1966-1967; a gynaecological view point'.

This author (from Leeds) presents the arguments against allowing abortion for social reasons. He states that it is unlikely that the bill would reduce the number of 'criminal' abortions.

22. British Medical Journal supplement, 29th January 1972 33-35 'Annual report of Council: Appendix II Enquiry into the working of the Abortion Act. B.M.A.'s memorandum of evidence to Mrs. Justice Lane's committee'.

They recommend that contraception should be made freely available, and that every woman 'who has proper grounds for an abortion' should be able to obtain it in an N.H.S. hospital within reasonable reach of her home. Termination should preferably be performed by the 12th week and should be refused after the 20th week. More accommodation and staff should be made available in hospitals. In every area there should be sufficient gynaecologists willing to provide an abortion service. The setting up of special abortion clinics should be carefully considered. They suggest that there might be a drop in the number of abortions if more adequate provisions were made to enable unmarried mothers to continue at work. Maintenance payable should be raised to a level more closely related to the cost of living. Lots of useful figures included too.

23. WHO Chronicle 1971 25(7) 328-333. 'Abortion' a survey of current legislation'. A very useful article giving a summary of abortion legislation found throughout the world.

USEFUL PAMPHLETS: 'Family Planning in Britain (1972)'25p post free from: Office of Health Economics, 162, Regent St., London WIR 6DD. 'Abortion. Classification and Techniques' 40p post free from: International Planned Parenthood Federation, 18-20 Lower Regent St., London SWIY 4PW. Also published by I.P.P.F. are pamphlets entitled 'Intrauterine Contraception' (40p) and 'Directory of Contraceptives' (60p). Due to come out in 1972 are 'Systemic Contraception' and 'Vasectomy'. Further details may be obtained from Helen Seed, 37, Elliston Rd., Redland Bristol BS6 6QQ.

WOMEN YOUR BODY belongs to YOU!

WACC GROUPS AND CONTACTS BANBURY Mavis Bayton, 113 Bloxham Road, Banbury Oxen. BANGOR: Catherine Head, Bryn Afon, College Lane, Bangor, Caerns, Wales.

BATH: Bath W A C C 12, Belgrave Terrace, Camden Road, Bath. BIRMINGHAM: Judith Scott, 7 Richmond Court, George Road, Edgbaston Birmingham B15 1PT. BRISTO Betty Underwood (WACC), 68 Camberley Road, Knowle, Bristol 4. GLASGOW: Maureen Blackburn, Ardmoc Hotel, 20, Hamilton Park, Glasgow W2. ILFORD: Katy Jennison(WACC) 28, Quebec Road, Ilford, Essex. LEICESTER: Shen Coleman, 21 Shanklin Drive, Stonygate, Leicester.

LONDON: Amanda Bennet (WACC), 3 Belmont Road, London S.W.4 Valerie Packham (Haringey Womens Action Group) 18, Dickenson Road, London w.8. LIVERPOOL: Merseyside WACC, 49 Seel Street, Liverpool 1. LEEDS Jackie Wootton (Birth Control Campaign) 4 Claremont Drive, Leeds 6. MANCHESTER: Manchester WACC 218 Upper Brook Street, Manchester 13. NEWCASTLE: Lorna Paton, 172 Newcastle Street, Silverdale, Newcastle - Under-Lyme, North Staffs. NOTTINGHAM: Nottingham WACC, 3 Dunlop avenue, Lenton, Nottingham.

PORTSMOUTH : Colia Clark, 8 Florence

Road, Scuthsea Portsmouth.
SOUTHAMPTON: Pose Creasy, 20 Furzedown
Road, Scuthampton SO2 1PW.
YORK: Noicen Stoan, Goodricke College,
Healington, York. Yol 5DD

Newslattor price 3p can be obtained from Nottingham WACC. Please include postage.

RECENT NEWS FROM LONDON

Four people from WACC met the Lane commission on Oct. 25th to present the evidence of the Women's movement. They were given every opportunity to put forward the views of WACC, and the meeting was in their opinion, a successful one. They were acked the following questions:

1) How many women are there in the Campaign?

2) To you have any contacts with foreign groups?

3) What is your attitude toward menstrual aspiration?

4) What chance do you think there is of Society accepting your/our progressive view on abortion?

5) What about the morbidity rate from the Karmen Cannula Method?

6) What about the stigma attached to abortion if it is carried out in abortion contres.

7) Is your legal interpretation of the act that it means abortion on request?

8) Why do you make the distinction so strongly between lay and professional councillors.

9) Why so little evidence of women who failed to get an abortion.

10) Are you a registered charity?

11) Do you or other groups work with men? 12) Mr. Justice Lane asked if we wanted to say anything?.

WACCs evicing; is published in a Pamphlet 'Women and Abortion' It can be obtained price 20p from London WACC.